

A DIVISION'S THEOREM ON SOME CLASS OF $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -FUNCTIONS

MOUTTAKI HLAL

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathcal{E}_n$ be the ring of the germs of $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -functions at the origin in $\mathbb{R}^n$. It is well known that if I is an ideal of $\mathcal{E}_n$, generated by a finite number of germs of analytic functions, then I is closed. In this paper we consider an ideal of $\mathcal{E}_n$ generated by a finite number of germs in some class of $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -functions that are not analytic in $\mathbb{R}^n$, but quasi-analytic and we shall prove that the result holds in this general situation. We remark that the result is not true for a general ideal of finite type of $\mathcal{E}_n$.

1. ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSIONS AND GEVREY ASYMPTOTICS

We denote by $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$ the ring of formal power series with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}$. We say that an analytic function f in a sector $S = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; 0 < |z| < r, \theta_0 < \arg z < \theta_1\}$, continuous on $\overline{S}$ admits $\hat{f} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n z^n \in \mathbb{C}[[z]]$ as asymptotic expansion at 0 if for every subsector S' of S there exist $C, M > 0$ such that for every nonnegative integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $z \in S'$,

$$\left| f(z) - \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} a_p z^p \right| \leq CM^n |z|^n.$$

For $k > 0$, $R > 0$, $\eta > 0$, we define the sector

$$S_{R,\eta}^k = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C}; 0 < |z| < R, \text{ and } |\arg z| < \frac{\pi}{2k} + \eta \right\}.$$

Let $A_{k,R,\eta}$ be the set of all functions $f(z)$ holomorphic in the sector $S_{R,\eta}^k$ continuous on $\overline{S_{R,\eta}^k}$ and having an asymptotic expansion $\hat{f}(z)$ and A_k the inductive limit of $(A_{k,R,\eta})_{R,\eta>0}$.

A function $f \in A_{k,R,\eta}$ is Gevrey of order k if for all subsector S' of $S_{R,\eta}^k$, there exist constants $C_{S'} > 0$, $M_{S'} > 0$ such that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\sup_{z \in S'} \left| \frac{f^{(n)}(z)}{n!} \right| \leq C_{S'} M_{S'}^n (n!)^{\frac{1}{k}},$$

then we denote by $\mathcal{G}_{k,R,\eta}$ the algebra of those functions, and by $\mathcal{G}_k$ we denote the inductive limit of $(\mathcal{G}_{k,R,\eta})_{R,\eta>0}$. $\mathcal{G}_k$ is called the ring of k -summable functions in direction $\mathbb{R}_+$. We put $\mathcal{G} = \cup_{k>\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{G}_k$.

Example 1.1. First we will give one way to construct some element of $\mathcal{G}$. Let

$$S = \{t \in \mathbb{C}; 0 < |t| < r, \theta_0 < \arg t < \theta_1\}$$

be a sector; if $D(0,r) \subset \mathbb{C}$ denote the disk, let $f : S \times D(0,r) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be holomorphic function. We suppose that:

$$\exists c > 0, A > 0, k > 0 \quad \text{such that } \forall (t,z) \in S \times D(0,r), \quad |f(t,z)| \leq ce^{-\frac{A}{|t|^k}}.$$

Key-Words: Gevrey-analytic functions; Weierstrass preparation theorem; Division theorem
AMS classification (2000): 26E10, 32B05.

Let $h(z) = \int_0^1 \frac{f(t,z)}{t+z} dt$. Then h is holomorphic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus [-1, 0]$. If $k > \frac{1}{2}$, h is holomorphic in the sector $S_{r,\eta}^k$. For each $p \in \mathbb{N}$, we put

$$h_p(z) = \int_{\frac{1}{(p+1)^{\frac{1}{k}}}}^{\frac{1}{p^{\frac{1}{k}}}} \frac{f(t,z)}{t+z} dt.$$

Then $h_p \in \mathcal{H}(S^k)$, where $S^p = S_{r,\eta}^k \cup \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C}; |z| < \frac{r^{\frac{1}{k}}}{(p+1)^{\frac{1}{k}}} \right\}$. For each $p \in \mathbb{N}$, h_p satisfies the following properties

$$\forall z \in S^p \quad \|h_p\|_{S^p} := \sup_{z \in S^p} |h_p(z)| \leq c\rho^p, \text{ where } c > 0, 0 < \rho < 1$$

$$\forall z \in S \quad h(z) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} h_p(z).$$

Following [6], $h \in \mathcal{G}_{k,r,\eta}$ and the map $h \longrightarrow \hat{h} \in \mathbb{C}[[z]]$ is injective because the angle of $S_{r,\eta}^k$ is strictly greater than $\frac{\pi}{k}$. If f is real then $h|_{[0,r]}$ will be in our algebra $\mathcal{G}$.

For example if $f(t,z) = \frac{1}{t} e^{-\frac{1}{t}}$ then $h|_{[0,r]}$ is analytic in $]0,r]$ and have a $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -extension to 0 and its Taylor's expansion at 0 is a convergent series plus the Euler's series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n n! x^{n+1}$. This situation is quite general. Let $r > 0$, $0 < r < R$, $D_n = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C}; |z| < \frac{1}{2}(n+1)^{-\frac{1}{k}} \right\}$.

Proposition 1.2 ([6]). Let $f : S_{R,\eta}^k \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Suppose that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $f_n : D_n \cup S_{R,\eta}^k \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that:

- (1) f_n is holomorphic in S^n and $\|f_n\|_{S^n} \leq c\rho^n$, $c > 0$, $0 < \rho < 1$.
- (2) $\forall z \in S_{R,\eta}^k$, $f(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n(z)$.

Then $f \in \mathcal{G}_{k,r,\eta}$.

The converse is also true

Proposition 1.3 ([6]). Let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of holomorphic functions on $D_n \cup S_{R,\eta}^k$ such that $\|f_n\| = \sup_{z \in D_n \cup S_{R,\eta}^k} |f_n(z)| \leq c\rho^n$ with $c > 0$, $0 < \rho < 1$, then $f(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n(z)$ is Gevrey of order k in $S_{R,\eta}^k$.

Lemma 1.4. The homomorphism $\mathcal{G}_k \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[[z]]_k$ is injective.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{G}_k$ such that $\hat{f} = 0$, we have that $f \in \mathcal{H}(S_{R,\eta}^k)$, and the angle of $S_{R,\eta}^k$ is strictly greater than $\frac{\pi}{k}$, so by the result of Gevrey asymptotic functions based on Phragmen-Lindelöf principle we have $f = 0$. $\square$

GEVREY-ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

Let $f \in \mathcal{G}_k$, there exist $R > 0$, $0 < \rho < 1$, $k > \frac{1}{2}$, and η small such that $f \in \mathcal{G}_{k,R,\eta}$, by Proposition 1.2 there exists a sequence of functions $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, holomorphic in $S^n = D_n \cup S_{R,\eta}^k$ such that $\|f_n\|_{S^n} \rho^{-n} < +\infty$. We put $\underline{\alpha} = (R, \rho, k, \eta)$ and we define

$$\|f_n\|_{\underline{\alpha}} \rho^{-n} = \inf \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|f_n\|_{S^n} \rho^{-n} \right)$$

over all representations of f as in Proposition 1.2. For $\mu > 0$ and $\underline{\alpha} = (R, \rho, k, \eta)$ as above we define $\mathcal{G}_{\underline{\alpha}} y_1, \dots, y_{n_\mu}$ as the ring of series $f(z, y) = \sum_{\omega \in \mathbb{N}^n} f_\omega(z) y^\omega$ such that $f_\omega \in \mathcal{G}$ and

$$\|f\|_{\underline{\alpha}, \mu} = \sum_{\omega \in \mathbb{N}^n} \|f_\omega\|_{\underline{\alpha}} \mu^{|\omega|} < +\infty$$

$(\mathcal{G}_{\underline{\alpha}}y_1, \dots, y_{n_\mu}, \|\cdot\|_{\underline{\alpha}, \mu})$ is a Banach algebra. Then we consider the algebra of Gevrey-analytic functions $\mathcal{G}y_1, \dots, y_n$ as the inductive limit of $(\mathcal{G}_{\underline{\alpha}}y_1, \dots, y_{n_\mu})_{\underline{\alpha}, \mu}$ and we define the inductive limit topology on $\mathcal{G}y_1, \dots, y_n$.

Proposition 1.5. *Let $f \in \mathcal{G}\{y\}$, if $\hat{f}(0) = 0$ then for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $\nu, \rho > 0$ such that $\|f\|_{\nu, \rho} \leq \varepsilon$.*

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{G}\{y\}$ then $f(z, y) = \sum_{\omega \in \mathbb{N}^n} f_\omega(z) y^\omega$, $f_\omega \in \mathcal{G}$ such that there exist $\underline{\alpha}, \mu > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{\omega \in \mathbb{N}^n} \|f\|_{\underline{\alpha}} \mu^{|\omega|} < +\infty.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$, then there exist $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sum_{\|\omega\| > l} \|f\|_{\underline{\alpha}} \mu^{|\omega|} < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

Furthermore if we take μ sufficiently small, we have

$$\sum_{0 < \|\omega\| < l} \|f\|_{\underline{\alpha}} \mu^{|\omega|} < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

In the other hand, since $\hat{f}_0(0) = 0$, we can suppose that $f_0(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{0,n}(z)$ with $f_{0,n}(0) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (since $f_0(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [f_{0,n}(z) - f_{0,n}(0)]$ and $f_0(0) = 0$) such that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|f_{0,n}\|_{S^n} \rho^{-n} < +\infty$. Then there exists $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{n > N_0} \|f_{0,n}\|_{S^n} \rho^{-n} < \frac{\varepsilon}{6}$. Since $f_{0,n}(0) = 0$, then if we make R small, we have that $\|f_{0,n}\|_{S^n} \rho^{-n} < \frac{\varepsilon}{6(N_0+1)}$ for $n = 0, \dots, N_0$. Hence, $\|f_0\|_{\underline{\alpha}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. Finally, we have that $\|f\|_{\underline{\alpha}, \mu} < \varepsilon$. $\square$

Corollary 1.6. *Let $f \in \mathcal{G}\{y\}$, if $\hat{f}(0) \neq 0$. Then there exists $g \in \mathcal{G}\{y\}$ such the $fg = 1$.*

Proof. Put $\hat{f}(0) = a_0 \neq 0$, we define $\varphi(\xi) = \frac{1}{\xi + a_0}$, then we have $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}(D(0, r))$, with $r < |a_0|$. We put $g = f - a_0 \in \mathcal{G}\{y\}$. We have $\hat{g}(0) = 0$. By Proposition 1.5, there exist $\nu, \rho > 0$ such that $\|g\|_{\nu, \rho} \leq \frac{a_0}{2}$, then $\varphi(g) \in \mathcal{G}\{y\}$, i.e., $\frac{1}{f} \in \mathcal{G}\{y\}$. $\square$

2. ALGEBRAIC PROPERTIES OF $\mathcal{G}\{y\}$

2.1. Weierstrass preparation theorem. Let $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ and $f \in \mathcal{G}\{y\}$. We say that f is regular of order p in y_n if $\hat{f}(0, 0, y_n)$ is regular of order p in y_n .

Theorem 2.1. *If $f \in \mathcal{G}\{y\}$ is regular of order p in y_n , then for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}\{y\}$, there exist $Q \in \mathcal{G}\{y\}$, $R \in \mathcal{G}\{y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}\}[y_n]$, $\deg_{y_n} R < p$ such that $\varphi = fQ + R$. Furthermore Q and R are uniquely determined.*

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{G}\{y\}$ be a regular of order p in y_n . We can write $f = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} f_m(z, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) y_n^m$, by hypothesis we have $f_m(0) = 0$ for $m < p$ and $f_p(0) \neq 0$. After dividing f by a unit element in $\mathcal{G}\{y\}$, we can suppose that $f_p(z, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) \equiv 1$ and $f \in \mathcal{G}_{\underline{\alpha}}\{y\}_\mu$,

$$\mathcal{G}_{\underline{\alpha}}\{y\}_\mu = \left\{ \varphi(z, y) = \sum_{\omega \in \mathbb{N}^n} \varphi_\omega(z) y^\omega, \quad \varphi_\omega \in \mathcal{G} / \quad \|\varphi\| = \sum_{\omega \in \mathbb{N}^n} \|\varphi_\omega\|_{\underline{\alpha}} \mu^{|\omega|} < +\infty \right\}.$$

Let $\delta > 0$, $\delta < \mu$ such that $\|f_m\|_{\underline{\alpha}} \leq M_1 \delta$, for $m < p$ (since $f_m(0) = 0$ for $m < p$), M_1 is a constant independent of δ and μ , and

$$\left\| \sum_{m > p}^{\infty} f_m(z, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) y_n^m \right\| \leq M_2 \mu^{p+1}.$$

Hence $\|f - y_n^p\| = \|f - f_p(z, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1})y_n^p\| \leq M_3\delta + M_2\mu^{p+1}$ (M_3, M_2 are constants independent of δ and μ).

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, $\varepsilon < 1$, we can choose $\delta = \delta(\mu)$ such that

$$M_2\mu^{p+1} + M_3\delta < \varepsilon\mu^p.$$

Such δ exists if μ is sufficiently small. Thus

$$\|f - y_n^p\| < \varepsilon\mu^p.$$

On the other hand, if $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_{\underline{\alpha}}\{y\}_\mu$, we write

$$\varphi = Q(\varphi)y_n^p + R(\varphi),$$

where $Q(\varphi), R(\varphi) \in \mathcal{G}_{\underline{\alpha}}\{y\}_\mu$ and $R(\varphi)$ is a polynomial in y_n of degree $< p$, then

$$\|\varphi\| = \|Q(\varphi)\| \mu^p + \|R(\varphi)\|.$$

Let us consider the linear operator

$$\begin{aligned} D : \mathcal{G}_{\underline{\alpha}}\{y\}_\mu &\longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\underline{\alpha}}\{y\}_\mu \\ \varphi &\longmapsto D\varphi = \|Q(\varphi)\| f + \|R(\varphi)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|D\varphi - \varphi\| &= \|Q(\varphi)(f - y_n^p)\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon \|Q(\varphi)\| \mu^p \leq \varepsilon \|\varphi\|. \end{aligned}$$

So that we have

$$\|D - id_{\mathcal{G}_{\underline{\alpha}}\{y\}_\mu}\| < 1.$$

Since $\mathcal{G}_{\underline{\alpha}}\{y\}_\mu$ is a Banach space, D is invertible, in other words if $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_{\underline{\alpha}}\{y\}_\mu$ there exists $\psi \in \mathcal{G}_{\underline{\alpha}}\{y\}_\mu$ such that

$$\varphi = D\psi = Q(\psi)f + R(\psi).$$

□

Remark 2.2. Let $\mathcal{G}^R\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} = \{f \in \mathcal{G}\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}; \text{ such that } \hat{f} \in \mathbb{R}[[z, y_1, \dots, y_n]]\}$. Then the last theorem holds for $\mathcal{G}^R\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$.

As a consequence of Theorem 2.1, we have

Proposition 2.3. $\mathcal{G}\{y\}$ is a noetherian, local and regular ring of dimension $n + 1$.

We have also

Proposition 2.4. $\mathbb{C}[[z, y]]$ is the completion of the ring $\mathcal{G}\{y\}$ for the $\underline{m}$ -adic topology, where $\underline{m} = (z, y_1, \dots, y_n)$.

Corollary 2.5. $\mathcal{G}\{y\}$ is a normal ring.

Proof. $\mathcal{G}\{y\}$ is a local and regular ring, then is factorial (see [4]), so that since $\mathcal{G}\{y\}$ is a noetherian and factorial, then is normal. □

Since $\mathcal{G}\{y\}$ is a noetherian and local ring, we have

Corollary 2.6. $\mathbb{C}[[z, y]]$ is faithfully flat over $\mathcal{G}\{y\}$.

Proposition 2.7. $\mathcal{G}\{y\}$ is a henselian ring.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{G}\{y\}$, $f(u) = u^p + \sum_{i=1}^p a_i$ and $x \in \mathcal{G}\{y\}/\underline{m} \sim \mathbb{C}$ such that $\overline{f(x)} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial \overline{f}}{\partial u}(x) \neq 0$. If $\alpha \in \mathcal{G}\{y\}$, with $\overline{\alpha} = x$ and $v = \alpha - u$, and $g(v) = f(u)$ we have $\overline{g(0)} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial \overline{g}}{\partial v}(x) \neq 0$, then $g \in \mathcal{G}\{y\}$ is regular of order 1 in v , so that there exist $R \in \underline{m}$ and Q invertible in $\mathcal{G}\{y, v\}$ such that $g = Q(v - R)$, so we have $f = \tilde{Q}(u - v + R)$, then if $a = \alpha + R$, we obtain $f(a) = 0$ and $\overline{a} = x$. □

Definition 2.8. We say that A is pseudo-geometric ring if A is noetherian and if, for every prime ideal $\mathcal{P}$ of A , $A/\mathcal{P}$ satisfies the finiteness condition for integral extensions, i.e., if for every integral extension of $A/\mathcal{P}$ such that its quotient field is finite over the quotient field of $A/\mathcal{P}$ then it is finite over $A/\mathcal{P}$.

Theorem 2.9. $\mathcal{G}\{y\}$ is pseudo-geometric ring.

Proof. Let $k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ and $\mathcal{P}$ be a prime ideal of height $k+1$, then we have $\mathcal{G}\{y\}/\mathcal{P}$ is a finite over $\mathcal{G}\{y_1, \dots, y_{n-k}\}$.

In what follows we denote by y' the $(n-k)$ -uplet $(y_1, \dots, y_{n-k})$. If $[B]$ (respectively $[\mathcal{G}\{y'\}]$) denote the quotient field of B (respectively $\mathcal{G}\{y'\}$), $[B]$ is a finite algebraic extension of $[\mathcal{G}\{y'\}]$. It follows from theorem on primitive element that

$$[B] = [\mathcal{G}\{y'\}][x],$$

where $x = \frac{b'}{b}$, $(b, b') \in B^2$ and $b \neq 0$. If $b'' \in B \setminus \{0\}$ such that $bb'' \in \mathcal{G}\{y'\}$, then generate $[B]$ over $[\mathcal{G}\{y'\}]$, then we may suppose that $x \in B$. Let P be the minimal polynomial of x , Δ its determinant, and let $s = \deg P[[B] : [\mathcal{G}\{y'\}]]$.

$$P(x) = x^s + \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} a_i x^i.$$

Let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_s$ be the $[\mathcal{G}\{y'\}]$ -isomorphisms of $[B]$ in an algebraic closure of $[\mathcal{G}\{y'\}]$, since $x \in B$ then x is integral over $\mathcal{G}\{y'\}$, and also the symmetric functions a_i of $\sigma_i(x)$, therefore $a_j \in \mathcal{G}\{y'\}$ (since $a_j \in [\mathcal{G}\{y'\}]$ and $\mathcal{G}\{y'\}$ is normal). We have

$$\Delta = \prod_{i < j} (\sigma_i(x) - \sigma_j(x))^2 = \det[\sigma_i(x)]^2.$$

Then $\Delta \neq 0$ and belongs to $\mathcal{G}\{y'\}$. Let $\alpha \in B$. There are elements $(b_j)_{0 \leq j \leq s-1}$ of $\mathcal{G}\{y'\}$ such that

$$\alpha = \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} b_j x^j.$$

Then, we have $\sigma_i(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \sigma_j(x) x^j$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$. If we solve this system for b_j , we conclude that Δb_j ($0 \leq j \leq s-1$) is integral over $\mathcal{G}\{y'\}$, so that $\Delta b_j \in \mathcal{G}\{y'\}$ (since $\Delta b_j \in [\mathcal{G}\{y'\}]$ and $\mathcal{G}\{y'\}$ is normal), therefore we have

$$\Delta B \subset \mathcal{G}\{y'\} x^{s-1} + \dots + \mathcal{G}\{y'\} x.$$

Then ΔB and so that B is finite over $\mathcal{G}\{y'\}$, i.e., $\mathcal{G}\{y'\}$ is pseudo-geometric. $\square$

Corollary 2.10. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a prime ideal of $\mathcal{G}\{y\}$. If $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}$ denote the ideal of $\mathbb{C}[[z, y]]$ generated by $\mathcal{P}$, then $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}$ is also prime. Furthermore $\mathcal{P}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}$ have the same height.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{G}\{y\}$ is henselian and pseudo-geometric, then $\mathcal{G}\{y\}$ is a Weierstrass ring, so that $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}$ is also prime (see [3]). Furthermore since $\mathbb{C}[[z, y]]$ is faithfully flat over $\mathcal{G}\{y\}$, then $\widehat{\mathcal{P}} \cap \mathcal{G}\{y\} = \mathcal{P}$, so that $\mathcal{P}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}$ have the same height. $\square$

2.2. Artin's approximation theorem. As the classical case we have Artin's theorem.

Theorem 2.11 (Artin in Gevrey). Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_p)$. Let $f_1(z, x, y), \dots, f_q(z, x, y)$ be in $\mathcal{G}\{x, y\}$. Suppose there exist $\tilde{y}_1(z, x), \dots, \tilde{y}_p(z, x) \in \mathbb{R}[[z, x]]$ such that

$$f_1(z, x, \tilde{y}(z, x)) = \dots = f_q(z, x, \tilde{y}(z, x)) = 0,$$

where $\tilde{y}(z, x) = (\tilde{y}_1(z, x), \dots, \tilde{y}_p(z, x))$. Then for each $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $(y_1(z, x), \dots, y_p(z, x)) \in \mathcal{G}\{x, y\}^\nu$ such that

$$f_1(z, x, y(z, x)) = \dots = f_q(z, x, y(z, x)) = 0$$

and $y_j - \tilde{y}_j \in \underline{m}^{\nu+1}$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the analytic case (see [2]). $\square$

3. FRÉCHET MODULES ON $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$.

Let us recall the following result which we will use at follows.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a prime ideal in $\mathcal{G}\{y\}$ of height k , and $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \mathcal{P}$. Then the maximal ideal $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{G}\{y\}_{\mathcal{P}}$ in $\mathcal{G}\{y\}$ is generated by $f_1, \dots, f_k$ if and only if there exists a jacobian $\frac{D(f_1, \dots, f_k)}{D(w_1, \dots, w_k)} \notin \mathcal{P}$, where w_i is z or y_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$. In this case, there exists $\delta \in (\mathcal{G}\{y\} \setminus \mathcal{P}) \cap \mathcal{J}(f_1, \dots, f_k)$ such that*

$$\delta \mathcal{P} \subset \langle f_1, \dots, f_k \rangle,$$

where $\mathcal{J}(f_1, \dots, f_k)$ is the ideal generated over $\mathcal{G}\{y\}$ by $(f_1, \dots, f_k)$ and all of the jacobians $\frac{D(f_1, \dots, f_k)}{D(w_1, \dots, w_k)}$ for $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n+1$ and w_i is z or y_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the analytic case (see [5]). $\square$

Let Ω be an open set in $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^n$, if we denote by $\mathcal{E}$ the sheaf of real $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -functions on Ω , and by $\mathcal{H}$ the sheaf of real analytic functions on Ω . We define the subsheaf $\underline{\mathcal{G}}$ of $\mathcal{E}$ by: For (x_0, y_0) such that $x_0 > 0$, we put $\underline{\mathcal{G}}_{x_0, y_0} = \mathcal{H}_{x_0, y_0}$ and $\underline{\mathcal{G}}_{0, y_0} = \mathcal{G}\{y - y_0\}$.

Using the same notations as Malgrange [2], we define the sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ as follows: For any subset of Ω , with $U = [0, \varepsilon[\times U'$, where U' is an open neighborhood of 0 in $\mathbb{R}^n$, we associate

$$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(U) = \prod_{(z, y) \in U} \underline{\mathcal{G}}_{(z, y)}.$$

Let $(z, y) \in \Omega$, we denote by $\mathcal{F}_{z, y}$ the completion of $\underline{\mathcal{G}}_{(z, y)}$ for the Krull topology: $\mathcal{F}_{z, y} = \mathbb{R}[[z, y]]$, and we define the sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \prod_{(z, y) \in U} \mathcal{F}_{z, y}$.

We have a coherence theorem analogous to the Oka's coherence theorem for the analytic case.

Theorem 3.2. *The sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ is flat over $\underline{\mathcal{G}}$, i.e., for any $(z, y) \in \Omega$, the module $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{(z, y)}$ is flat over $\underline{\mathcal{G}}_{(z, y)}$.*

In the Proof we will consider only the point $(0, y_0)$, for the other points we have the analytic case.

Proof. Let U be an open neighborhood of $(0, y_0)$. Let $\underline{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_k)$ and $\underline{f}_{(0, y_0)} = (f_{1, (0, y_0)}, \dots, f_{k, (0, y_0)}) \in \mathcal{G}\{y - y_0\}$ such that $f_{1, (0, y_0)}, \dots, f_{k, (0, y_0)}$ be a $\mathcal{G}\{y - y_0\}$ -sequence of $\mathcal{G}\{y - y_0\}$. We shall prove that

$$\text{Tor}_1^{\mathcal{G}\{y - y_0\}} \left(\mathcal{G}\{y - y_0\} / \underline{f}_{(0, y_0)}, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{(0, y_0)} \right) = 0.$$

Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the ideal generated in $\mathcal{G}\{y - y_0\}$ by $f_1, \dots, f_k$. By using Theorem II. 5.3. in [5] for the formal case and Corollary 2.10, and reducing U if necessary, we have for any $(z, y) \in U$,

$$ht(\mathcal{I}_{(z, y)}) \geq ht(\mathcal{I}_{(0, y_0)}) = k.$$

Then we have either $\mathcal{I}_{(z, y)} = \mathcal{G}\{y - y_0\}$ or $f_{1, (0, y_0)}, \dots, f_{k, (0, y_0)}$ be a system of parameters of $\mathcal{G}\{y - y_0\}$. Consequently, in all cases we have that the module $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}\{y - y_0\}}(\underline{f}_{(0, y_0)})$ relations of $\underline{f}_{(0, y_0)}$ in $\mathcal{G}\{y - y_0\}$ is generated by the obvious relations, then we have that

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}(0, y_0)}(\underline{f}_{(0, y_0)}) = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}\{y - y_0\}}(\underline{f}_{(0, y_0)}) \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{(0, y_0)}.$$

Hence

$$Tor_1^{\mathcal{G}\{y-y_0\}}(\mathcal{G}\{y-y_0\}/\underline{f}_{(0,y_0)}, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{(0,y_0)}) = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}(0,y_0)}(\underline{f}_{(0,y_0)})/\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}\{y-y_0\}}(\underline{f}_{(0,y_0)})\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{(0,y_0)} = 0.$$

Remark 3.3. Since every $\underline{\mathcal{G}}_{(z,y)}$ -sequence of $\underline{\mathcal{G}}_{(z,y)}$ is $\mathcal{G}_{(z,y)}$ -sequence of $\mathcal{F}_{(z,y)}$, we prove a similar result as Theorem 3.2 for $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$, i.e., $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is flat over $\underline{\mathcal{G}}$.

3.1. Fréchet's module.

Definition 3.4. Let M be an $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ -module. M is a Fréchet module if M is of finite presentation on $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ and the map

$$i : M \longrightarrow M \bigotimes_{\mathcal{E}(\Omega)} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega)$$

is injective.

3.2. Local Fréchet's modules.

Definition 3.5. Let M be an $\mathcal{E}_a$ -module ($a \in \Omega$). M is a Fréchet module if M is of finite presentation on $\mathcal{E}_a$ and the map

$$i : M \longrightarrow M \bigotimes_{\mathcal{E}_a} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_a$$

is injective.

Proposition 3.6 ([5]). Let $0 \longrightarrow M' \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M'' \longrightarrow 0$ be a exact sequence of $\mathcal{E}_a$ -modules. If M' and M'' are Fréchet modules, then M is a Fréchet module.

3.3. Fréchet's modules of finite real dimension. Let $\underline{m}_a$ be the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{E}_a$. $\underline{m}_a$ is the ideal of germs of all functions of $\mathcal{E}_a$ which vanish at a , then $\underline{m}_a$ is generated by $y_1 - a_1, \dots, y_{n+1} - a_{n+1}$ ($a = (a_1, \dots, a_{n+1})$), $\mathcal{E}_a/\underline{m}_a$ is a Fréchet module.

Let N be an $\mathcal{E}_a$ -module, and suppose that $\dim_R(N) < +\infty$. The decreasing sequence $\underline{m}_a^i N$ is stationary, then there exists i such that $\underline{m}_a^i N = \underline{m}_a \cdot \underline{m}_a^i \cdot N$. By Nakayama's lemma, we have $\underline{m}_a N = 0$. Hence N is of finite length over the noetherian ring $\mathcal{F}_a$. Then there exists an increasing sequence $N_0, \dots, N_s$ of submodules of N such that $N_0 = 0$, $N_s = N$ and for $i \in [0, s-1]$, $N_{i+1}/N_i \sim \mathcal{E}_a/\underline{m}_a$, then N is a Fréchet module (Proposition 3.6).

Let $\mathcal{E}_{n+1}$ denote the ring of germs at $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ of $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -functions with real values.

Theorem 3.7. Let M be a finite $\mathcal{G}\{y\}$ -module, then $M \bigotimes_{\mathcal{G}\{y\}} \mathcal{E}_{n+1}$ is a Fréchet module over $\mathcal{E}_{n+1}$.

Proof. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{n+1}$ be the ring of germs at $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ of collections of formal power series at each point near 0. The module $M \bigotimes_{\mathcal{G}\{y\}} \mathcal{E}_{n+1}$ is of finite presentation over $\mathcal{E}_{n+1}$ (since $\mathcal{G}\{y\}$ is noetherian, then M is of finite representation over $\mathcal{G}\{y\}$). We will prove that the map

$$i : M \bigotimes_{\mathcal{G}\{y\}} \mathcal{E}_{n+1} \longrightarrow M \bigotimes_{\mathcal{G}\{y\}} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{n+1}$$

is injective. The sequence $0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{n+1} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{n+1} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{n+1}/\mathcal{E}_{n+1} \longrightarrow 0$ is exact, then we have an exact sequence:

$$Tor_1^{\mathcal{G}\{y\}}(M, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{n+1}) \longrightarrow Tor_1^{\mathcal{G}\{y\}}(M, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{n+1}/\mathcal{E}_{n+1}) \longrightarrow M \bigotimes_{\mathcal{G}\{y\}} \mathcal{E}_{n+1} \longrightarrow M \bigotimes_{\mathcal{G}\{y\}} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{n+1}.$$

Since we have $Tor_1^{\mathcal{G}\{y\}}(M, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{n+1}) = 0$ (Remark 3.3), then $M \bigotimes_{\mathcal{G}\{y\}} \mathcal{E}_{n+1}$ is a Fréchet module if and only if $Tor_1^{\mathcal{G}\{y\}}(M, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{n+1}/\mathcal{E}_{n+1}) = 0$. We will prove this result by induction

on $\dim(M)$. If $\dim(M) = 0$, then $M \otimes_{\mathcal{G}\{y\}} \mathcal{E}_{n+1}$ is an $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space of finite dimension, then $M \otimes_{\mathcal{G}\{y\}} \mathcal{E}_{n+1}$ is a Fréchet module (see 3.3).

Suppose now that $\dim(M) = n - k > 0$. Then after using the exactness of sequence of *Tor* we can suppose that $M = \mathcal{G}\{y\}/\mathcal{P}$, where $\mathcal{P}$ is a prime ideal of height $k + 1$.

Let $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_s$ Gevrey-analytic functions on Ω which generate $\mathcal{P}$ over $\mathcal{G}\{y\}$. Then using Proposition 2 for suitable φ_i , we suppose that there exists $\delta \in \mathcal{G}\{y\}$ such that

$$\delta \varphi_j \in \langle \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{k+1} \rangle \quad \text{for } j = k + 2, \dots, s, \quad \delta \in \mathcal{J}_{k+1}(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{k+1}).$$

Hence the germ δ_0 of δ doesn't belong to $\mathcal{P}$. Since δ is not a zero divisor in $\mathcal{G}\{y\}/\mathcal{P}$, then δ is not a zero divisor in $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{n+1}/\mathcal{P}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{n+1}$ ($\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{n+1}$ is flat over $\mathcal{G}\{y\}$, Remark 3.3). In other words, by making Ω smaller, we have $\forall (z, y) \in V(I)$, $\delta_{z,y} = T_{z,y}\delta$ is not a zero divisor in $\mathcal{F}_{z,y}/T_{z,y}I$, where $V(I)$ is the set of zeros of the ideal I . Putting $I' = I + \delta \cdot \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$, if Ω is sufficiently small; I' is closed (since the height of $\mathcal{P} + \delta \cdot \mathcal{G}\{y\} > k + 1$, and we apply the induction hypothesis), hence I is closed (see V. 5.6. [5]), then the ideal $\mathcal{P} \cdot \mathcal{E}_{n+1}$ induced by I at the origin is closed, therefore $M \otimes_{\mathcal{G}\{y\}} \mathcal{E}_{n+1} = \mathcal{E}_{n+1}/\mathcal{P} \cdot \mathcal{E}_{n+1}$ is a Fréchet module. $\square$

Corollary 3.8. *Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a submodule of $\mathcal{G}\{y\}^p$, then $\mathcal{I} \cdot \mathcal{E}_{n+1}$ is closed in $\mathcal{E}_{n+1}^p$.*

Proof. If we put $M = \mathcal{G}\{y\}^p/\mathcal{I}$, then M is a finite $\mathcal{G}\{y\}^p$ -module. Hence by Theorem 3.7, we have $M \otimes_{\mathcal{G}\{y\}} \mathcal{E}_{n+1}$ is a Fréchet module, but $M \otimes_{\mathcal{G}\{y\}} \mathcal{E}_{n+1} = \mathcal{E}_{n+1}^p/\mathcal{I} \cdot \mathcal{E}_{n+1}$, then $\mathcal{I} \cdot \mathcal{E}_{n+1}$ is closed in $\mathcal{E}_{n+1}^p$. $\square$

Let $f_1, \dots, f_q$ be in $\mathcal{G}\{y\}$, then there exist $\varepsilon > 0$, U an open neighborhood of 0 in $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $f_1, \dots, f_q$ are Gevrey-analytic in $[0, \varepsilon] \times U$.

Corollary 3.9. *Let Ω be an open set in $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\Omega = [0, \varepsilon] \times U$, $\varepsilon > 0$, where U is an open neighborhood of 0, and $f_1, \dots, f_q$ are Gevrey-analytic in Ω . Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$, then Φ can be written in the form $\Phi = \sum_{i=1}^q f_i \Psi_i$, where $\Psi_i \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$, if and only if for all $(t, a) \in \Omega$, the Taylor expansion $T_{(t,a)}f$ of f at (t, a) belongs to the ideal generated in $\mathcal{F}_{(t,a)}$ by $T_{(t,a)}f_1, \dots, T_{(t,a)}f_q$.*

REFERENCES

- [1] Bourbaki N., Algèbre commutative, Chapitres 1-4, Masson Paris (1985)
- [2] Malgrange B., Ideals of differentiable functions. Oxford University Press (1966)
- [3] Nagata M., Local rings, Interscience publishers-John Wiley and sons (1962)
- [4] Serre J.-P., Algèbre locale. Multiplicités, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 11 (1965)
- [5] Tougeron J.-C., Idéaux des fonctions différentiables, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1972)
- [6] Tougeron J.-C., Sur les ensembles semi-analytiques avec conditions Gevrey au bord, Ann. Sci E.N.S., 4^{ème}, série T. 27 (1994) 173-208

DÉPARTEMENT DES SCIENCES ÉCONOMIQUES, FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES JURIDIQUES, ÉCONOMIQUES ET SOCIALES, BP 145, MOHAMMADIA, MAROC